UNITED S DISTRIC	STATES DISTRICKS OF WASSACHUS PEOPLE  CT OF MASSACHUS PEOPLE  AMDIN 24				
	SUMMONS ISSUED YOUR LOCARDENT STATES				
CYNTHIA KENNEDY,	) DISTRICT MAINTENSFORM  DISTRICT MCF ISSUED  BY DPTY. CLK. FCOT				
Plaintiff,	DATE -/ CS				
V.					
STUART M. CHASE, in his individual capacity, and the TOWN OF DANVERS,  Defendants.	) CIVIL ACTION NO.				
	OS ROLDI DOL				
	MAGISTRATE JUDGE Couler				

### **COMPLAINT**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. This is an action for damages brought by Cynthia Kennedy against the Chief of Police of the Town of Danvers Stuart Chase and the Town of Danvers for violations of the plaintiff's constitutionally guaranteed rights, along with state tort claims.

### **JURISDICTION**

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the First and Fourth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343 for the federal questions. Plaintiff further invokes the supplemental jurisdiction of this Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 to hear and decide claims arising under Massachusetts tort law.

#### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff Cynthia Kennedy was at all times material to this complaint a resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 4. Defendant Stuart Chase was at all times material to this complaint the Chief of Police in the Town of Danvers. He is a citizen of the United States. His actions alleged in this Complaint were taken under color of the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the Town of Danvers. He is sued in his individual capacity.
- 5. The defendant Town of Danvers is a duly organized municipal corporation in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and was at all times relevant to the allegations of this Complaint, the employer of defendant Chase. The Danvers Police Department ("DPD") is a department of the Town of Danvers.

#### <u>FACTS</u>

- 6. On September 29, 2003, Danvers police officer David Woytovich drove to Cynthia Kennedy's home and confronted her about an unregistered vehicle outside her home. When Ms. Kennedy tried to show the notice from the DPD to explain that she had thirty days to deal with the car, Officer Woytovich cut her off and yelled that he did not want to see any paperwork she had. He then made a derogatory comment.
- 7. Officer Woytovich then threatened to arrest Ms. Kennedy for loitering in front of her home. Officer Woytovich continued to yell at Ms. Kennedy in front of her neighbors until she went inside her home.

- 8. Several of Ms. Kennedy's neighbors heard Officer Woytovich's harassing behavior, causing her embarrassment.
- 9. Later that day, Ms. Kennedy went to the Danvers police station in order to file a complaint against Officer Woytovich.
- 10. When she requested that she be allowed to speak to Chief of Police Stuart Chase, the police officer behind the desk told her to wait as the Chief was in a meeting. After approximately ten minutes, Ms. Kennedy was escorted to the Chief's office.
- against an officer who was at her house earlier in the day and attempted to explain what had happened with Officer Woytovich. Chief Chase interrupted her, questioning Ms. Kennedy's interpretation of the incident, and instructed her about Danvers Police Department policies regarding unregistered vehicles.
- 12. When Ms. Kennedy tried to respond, Chief Chase became upset, yelled at her and told her that the meeting was over. He ordered her to get out of his office.
- 13. Ms. Kennedy walked out into the hallway. Chief Chase followed her, grabbed her by the left upper arm, turned her to the right towards the stairs and told her to leave the police station.
- 14. As Ms. Kennedy stood in Chief Chase's grip, she asked him, "Don't I have the right to file a complaint?" Chief Chase responded, "You have the right to get out of here. There's the stairs." He squeezed her arm harder.

- 15. Ms. Kennedy told Chief Chase that he was assaulting her and told him to let go of her arm.
- 16. Instead of releasing her arm, Chief Chase told her that she was under arrest. When she asked what for, he shouted back, "For trespassing!" He then dragged her by the arm, down the hallways and the stairs, to the booking desk. Ms. Kennedy was shocked. She started to cry.
- 17. While Ms. Kennedy was being fingerprinted and photographed, she informed the booking officer that she wanted to file a complaint. After the booking process, a female officer provided Ms. Kennedy with a complaint form. Ms. Kennedy completed the form and gave it to the female officer who made a copy for Ms. Kennedy.
- 18. After that, a police officer brought Ms. Kennedy into a holding cell. Approximately two hours later, Ms. Kennedy was released.
- 19. As a result of the incident, Ms. Kennedy suffered bruises under her arm. She received medical treatment at the Beverly Hospital Emergency Room later that evening.
- 20. The criminal trespassing charge was dismissed upon request of the Commonwealth at Ms. Kennedy's first court appearance the following day.
- 21. Her arrest and court appearance were reported by the *Salem News*, causing her further embarrassment and public humiliation.

- 22. The Beverly Hospital emergency room report states that Ms. Kennedy was "very upset, tearful and anxious," and she had a very mild contusion ("slight erythema") on her left arm, which was sore and tender to palpitation.
- 23. Ms. Kennedy suffered severe emotional distress as a result of the incident. She sought and received treatment from a mental health specialist.

### <u>COUNT ONE:</u> 42 U.S.C. §1983: CHASE

- 24. The above paragraphs are incorporated by reference.
- 25. Defendant Danvers Chief of Police Stuart Chase arrested Ms. Kennedy without probable cause.
- 26. Defendant Chase used excessive force on Ms. Kennedy, leaving bruises on her arms, and causing her to seek medical attention.
- 27. Defendant Chase retaliated against Ms. Kennedy for exercising her First Amendment rights and attempting to file a complaint against a Danvers police officer and for complaining about his holding her tightly.
- 28. Defendant Chase acted to discourage a citizen from filing complaints against police officers by his intimidating behavior and by falsely arresting her.
- 29. Defendant Stuart Chase acted with reckless disregard for plaintiff's constitutional rights.
- 30. By the actions described above, defendant Chase deprived the plaintiff of the following clearly established and well-settled constitutional rights:

- 1. freedom from arrest without a warrant or probable cause;
- 2. freedom from an unreasonable seizure of her person;
- 3. freedom from the use of unreasonable use of force; and
- 4. freedom of speech.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of this conduct, plaintiff suffered the injuries described above.

### COUNT TWO: 42 U.S.C. §1983 Monell Claim Against TOWN OF DANVERS

- 32. The above paragraphs are incorporated by reference.
- 33. As the Chief of the Danvers Police Department, defendant Chase was the final policymaker for the Town of Danvers with regard to its police department.
  - 34. The Town of Danvers is liable for the acts of its policymaker.
- 35. Chief Chase was the final decision-maker over the arrest of an individual *i.e.*, these decisions were not subject to any meaningful review; and this power was within the realm of his grant of authority by the Town of Danvers.
- 36. As the Chief of Police of the Town of Danvers, Defendant Chase made a deliberate decision to arrest the Plaintiff rather than to assist her in filing a complaint.
- 37. Chief Chase's actions directly caused the deprivation of Ms. Kennedy's rights.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of this conduct, plaintiff suffered the injuries described above.

### COUNT THREE: M.G.L. C. 12, §111: DEFENDANT CHASE

- 39. The above paragraphs are incorporated by reference.
- 40. Defendant Stuart Chase threatened and intimidated Ms. Kennedy because she expressed her intent to file a complaint against a Danvers police officer.
- 41. Defendant Chase assaulted Ms. Kennedy by manhandling her and grabbing her arm in an effort to threaten, intimidate and coerce her from pursuing her complaint against a police officer.
- 42. Defendant Chase falsely arrested Ms. Kennedy and charged her with trespassing in an effort to threaten, intimidate and coerce her from filing a complaint against a police officer and to cover up his own misconduct.
- 43. Defendant Chase deprived plaintiff of her rights by means of threats, intimidation and coercion.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of this conduct, plaintiff suffered the injuries described above.

### COUNT FOUR: FALSE ARREST/MALICIOUS PROSECUTION: CHASE

- 45. The above paragraphs are incorporated by reference.
- 46. The arrest and subsequent confinement of the plaintiff was without probable cause.
- 47. Defendant Chase caused criminal proceedings to be instituted against the plaintiff without probable cause and with malice as defined in state tort law.

- 48. The charges did not result in any criminal conviction; rather, they terminated in plaintiff's favor when they were dismissed at the first court date upon request of the prosecution.
- 49. By the actions described above, defendant Chase deprived the plaintiff of the clearly established and well-settled right to be free from unreasonable seizures.
- 50. As a direct and proximate result of this conduct, plaintiff suffered the injuries described above.

## COUNT FIVE: TORT OF ASSAULT & BATTERY: CHASE

- 51. The above paragraphs are incorporated by reference.
- 52. Defendant Chase committed the common law tort of assault and battery against the plaintiff.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result, the plaintiff suffered the injuries as described above.

### WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs request that this Honorable Court:

- 1. Award compensatory damages;
- 2. Award punitive damages against defendant Chase;
- 3. Award the costs of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees to the plaintiff; and
- 4. Award such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary and appropriate.

# JURY DEMAND

A jury trial is hereby demanded.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Howard Friedma

BO # 18008b Myong J. Joun

BBO # 645099

Jennifer L. Bills

BBO # 652223

Law Offices of Howard Friedman, P.C.

90 Canal Street, Fifth Floor

Boston, MA 02114-2022

(617) 742-4100

Date: February 1, 2005

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

		- 41.14	<u> </u>	M Ob	ام ام	
Title of case (name	e of first party on each side only)_	Cynthia Kennedy			et al.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>Fli</u>	ED OF EN	F	<u> </u>
Category in which	the case belongs based upon the	் numbered nature of எய்	r code liste	thon the civil	cover sheet	t. (See
local rule 40.1(a)(1						
		oo oo watube oe suid	nos FEB	-1 17 12	• ,) • 1	
<u> </u>	1)). 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLES	38 OF NATURE OF SULK	00-	_		
v ii.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*,	0 550 555 625, 710, 721	0730 5 / C <sup>*</sup>	"Also comp	1418 AO 120	or AO 121 r copyright ca
III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 21 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 35 380, 385, 450, 891.	0, 230, 240, 245, 290, 31	10,			· ·
IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 53 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 87		50, 660,			
v.	150, 152, 153.					
Title and number, this district please NONE	if any, of related cases. (See locale indicate the title and number of t	al rule 40.1(g)). If more ti the first filed case in this	han one pri court.	or related cas	se has been	filed in
Has a prior action	between the same parties and b	ased on the same claim	ever been f	iled in this co	urt?	
			YES	NO	<b>~</b>	
Does the complai 28 USC §2403)	nt in this case question the consti	tutionality of an act of co	ongress affo	ecting the put	olic interest?	(See
If so, is the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent or employee	of the U.S. a party?			<u> </u>	
			YES	NO	~	
Is this case requi	red to be heard and determined by	y a district court of three	judges pui	suant to title	28 USC §22	84?
			YES	NO	~	
Do <u>all</u> of the parti Massachusetts (' 40.1(d)).	ies in this action, excluding gover "governmental agencies"), residi	rnmental agencies of the ng in Massachusetts res	united stat	es and the Co ame division	ommonweali ? - (See Loc	th of cal Rule
V-77					[]	
			YES	NO		
<b>A</b> .	If yes, in which division do all	of the non-governmental				
A.	If yes, in which division do <u>all</u> Eastern Division	of the non-governmental Central Division		side?	n Division	
A. B.	[]	Central Division	parties res	iide? Westerr		mental
	Eastern Division	Central Division	parties res	westerr		mental
В.	Eastern Division  If no, in which division do the ragencies, residing in Massach	Central Division πajority of the plaintiffs o husetts reside? Central Division	parties res	 Westerr parties, exclu Westerr	ding govern n Division	
B.  If filling a Notice o	Eastern Division  If no, in which division do the ragencies, residing in Massach Eastern Division	Central Division majority of the plaintiffs of husetts reside? Central Division s pending in the state cou	parties res	 Westerr parties, exclu Westerr	ding govern n Division	
B.  If filling a Notice o	Eastern Division  If no, in which division do the ragencies, residing in Massach	Central Division majority of the plaintiffs of husetts reside? Central Division s pending in the state cou	parties res	Western parties, exclu  Western g the attention	ding govern n Division	
B. If filling a Notice of yes, submit a sep	Eastern Division  If no, in which division do the ragencies, residing in Massach Eastern Division  of Removal - are there any motions parate sheet identifying the motion	Central Division majority of the plaintiffs of husetts reside? Central Division s pending in the state cou	parties res	 Westerr parties, exclu Westerr	ding govern n Division	
B.  If filling a Notice of yes, submit a seg	Eastern Division  If no, in which division do the ragencies, residing in Massach Eastern Division  of Removal - are there any motions parate sheet identifying the motion	Central Division majority of the plaintiffs of husetts reside? Central Division s pending in the state couns)	parties res	Western parties, exclu  Western g the attention	ding govern n Division	
B.  If filing a Notice of yes, submit a segon security or PRINT RNEY'S NAME HO	Eastern Division  If no, in which division do the ragencies, residing in Massach Eastern Division  of Removal - are there any motions parate sheet identifying the motion	Central Division majority of the plaintiffs of husetts reside? Central Division s pending in the state couns) oun, and Jennifer L.	parties res	Western parties, exclu  Western g the attention	ding govern n Division	

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE DEFENDANTS LERKS OF FICE I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Stuart M. Chase, in his individual capability factor that P 12: 34 Cynthia Kennedy Town of Danvers Essex County of Residence of First Listed T COLID

(IN US REAINTHE CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONTRACT TO DEASES ON THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Howard Friedman, Myong J. Joun, and Jennifer L. Bills Law Offices of Howard Friedman, P.C. 90 Canal Street, 5th Floor, Boston, MA 02114 (617) 742-4100 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) Incorporated or Principal Place 4 Citizen of This State x 3 Federal Question ☐1 U.S. Government of Business In This State (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff Incorporated and Principal Citizen of Another State 2 2 2 U.S. Government Diversity of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties Defendant in Item III) 6 Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation Foreign Country (Place an "X" in One Box Only) NATURE OF SUIT BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES FORFEITURE/PENALTY TORTS CONTRACT 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust
430 Banks and
450 Commerce
460 Deportation 610 Agriculture PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 110 Insurance 620 Other Food & Drug 362 Personal Injury-310 Airplane 430 Banks and Banking 625 Drug Related Seizure 423 Withdrawal Med. Malpractice 315 Airplane Product ☐ 130 Miller Act 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 28 USC 157 of Property 21 USC 881 365 Personal Injury ---Liability 140 Negotiable Instrument 460 Deportation 630 Liquor Laws Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & 150 Recovery of Overpayment PROPERTY RIGHTS 470 Racketeer Influenced and 640 R.R. & Truck 368 Asbestos Personal & Enforcement of Corrupt Organizations Judgment 650 Airline Regs. 820 Copyrights Injury Product 330 Federal Employers' 810 Selective Service 151 Medicare Act Liability 660 Occupational 830 Patent 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 850 Securities/Commodities/ Safety/Health PERSONAL PROPERT 840 Trademark 340 Marine Exchange Student Loans 690 Other 370 Other Fraud 345 Marine Product 875 Customer Challenge (Excl. Veterans) 371 Truth in Lending 12 USC 3410 Liability SOCIAL SECURITY 153 Recovery of Overpayment LABOR 380 Other Persona 39! Agricultural Acts 350 Motor Vehicle of Veteran's Benefits Property Damage 861 HIA (1395ff) 355 Motor Vehicle 710 Fair Labor Standards 892 Economic Stabilization Act ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits Product Liability 385 Property Damage 862 Black Lung (923) 893 Environmental Matters
894 Energy Allocation Act 190 Other Contract 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g) Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relation 195 Contract Product Liability 864 SSID Title XVI 895 Freedom of ITIONS PRISONER PE RIGHTS CIVIL PROPERTY 865 RS1 (405(g)) REAL Information Act 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting 900 Appeal of Fee Determination & Disclosure Act 510 Motions to Vacate 441 Voting 210 Land Condemnation FEDERAL TAX SUITS 740 Railway Labor Act Under Equal Access to 442 Employment Sentence 220 Foreclosure 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Justice Habeas Corpus: 443 Housing/ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 790 Other Labor Litigation 950 Constitutionality of 530 General or Defendant) Accommodations 240 Torts to Land State Statutes 535 Death Penalty 444 Welfare 245 Tort Product Liability 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 890 Other Statutory Actions 540 Mandamus & Other 871 IRS—Third Party 440 Other Civil Rights 290 All Other Real Property Security Act 550 Civil Rights 26 USC 7609 555 Prison Condition Appeal to District Judge from (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Transferred from V. ORIGIN another district Magistrate 4 Reinstand Reopened Reinstated or 5 (specify) 6 Multidistrict 2 Removed from Remanded from V 1 Original Proceeding Appellate Court VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and the First and Fourth Amendments to the United States Constitution. CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND VII. REQUESTED IN ¥ Yes JURY DEMAND: LINDER F.R.C.P. 23 COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): DOCKET 1F ANY JUDGE NUMBER SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD February 1, 2005 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY MAG. JUDGE JUDGE \_\_\_ APPLYING IFP AMOUNT \_ RECEIPT # \_